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## Rehabilitation

- Loan holders, collectors tend to push rehab.
- Borrowers are entitled to pay only what is reasonable and affordable for them.
- No minimum payment allowed, but probably more than 0.
- Problems with commission system.
- Documentation required for lower payments.
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What's Covered by § 523(a)(8)?
(ii) an obligation to repay funds received as an educational benefit, scholarship, or stipend; $\qquad$ or
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
ACADEMY
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## The § 523(a)(8) Standard

- What Brunner says
- For discharge debtor must show:

Cannot maintain, based on current income and expenses, a "minimal" standing of living for the debtor and the debtor's dependents if forced to repay the student loan; [present hardship]
Additional circumstances exist indicating that this state of affairs is likely to persist for a significant portion of the repayment period of the student loans [future hardship]; and The debtor has made good faith efforts to repay the loans [past hardship]
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## Brunner: Past Hardship

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

- Income Based Repayment Plans
$\qquad$
- Judicial repeal of fresh start
- Negative amortization
- Tax consequences, But see In re Goodman, 449
B.R. 287 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 2011) $\qquad$
- Not applicable to all student loans at all times:
- Private loans
- Parents' PLUS loans
- Loans in default (unless consolidated) or loans where judgment entered


## Other Undue Hardship Standards

- Eighth Circuit ("totality of circumstances" test) considers:
(1) the debtor's past, current, and reasonably reliable future financial resources;
- (2) the debtor's and the debtor's dependents' reasonable necessary living expenses
- (3) any other relevant facts and circumstances
- First Circuit (pick your own standard):
- See In re Bronsdon, 435 B.R. 791 (B.A.P. $1^{\text {st }}$ Cir. 2010) refusing to adopt Brunner, instead asks "Can the debtor now, and in the foreseeable near future, maintain a reasonable minimal standard of living for the debtor and the debtor's dependents and still afford to make payment on the debtor's student loans?"



## Issue: Separate

 Classification in Chapter 13- Classifying student loan creditors separately from other unsecured creditors in chapter 13 plan.
- Why do this?
- Maximize payment toward non-dischargeable debt
- Avoid accrual of post-petition interest: In re Kielisch, 258 F.3d 315 (4 $4^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 2001)

Ch.13: Separate Classification

- 11 U.S.C. § 1322(b)(1) says:
- ". . . the [chapter 13] plan may . . . designate a class or classes of unsecured claims ... , but may not discriminate unfairly against any class so designated"
- i.e., you can discriminate fairly
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Chapter 13 plan issues $\qquad$
$\qquad$

- You have to file an adversary proceeding: United Student Aid Funds v. Espinosa, 130 S. Ct. 1367 (2010) $\qquad$
- Timing of A.P. in chapter 13 case. $\qquad$
- Should not have to wait to completion of plan. In re Coleman, 560 F.3d 1000 (9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 2009) $\qquad$
$\qquad$



